



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	International Journal of Biochemistry Research & Review
Manuscript Number:	Ms_IJBCRR_34274
Title of the Manuscript:	RAT SERUM LIVER AND KIDNEY PROFILE FOLLOWING SPHENOSTYLIS STENOCARPA SEED AQUEOUS EXTRACT ADMINISTRATION
Type of the Article	

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.

To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)



SDI Review Form 1.6

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<u>Compulsory</u> REVISION comments	It is well written paper but full of spelling and fused words and grammar mistakes It does not have any relation with hypertension You can not presume that this food lower blood pressure unless you measure it It is only prove it is not harmful but it did not measure the whole array nor he long use effect on all aspects of the human body specially organs as kidney liver ,lung,.....etc no histopathology study	The fused words have been separated and grammar mistakes corrected. This is an ongoing research so the reviewer's comment is taken on board.
<u>Minor</u> REVISION comments		
<u>Optional/General</u> comments		